UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,710	06/22/2006	Kris V. Kumar	128346.33301	9802
21269 PEPPER HAM	7590 11/12/200 ILTON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE MELLON CENTER, 50TH FLOOR			GRANT, ALVIN J	
500 GRANT STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/596,710	KUMAR ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALVIN J. GRANT	3723		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,8,10,12-14,18-22,31 and 36 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,8,10,12-14,18-22,31 and 36 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. s/are rejected.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/596,710 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, 12-14, 18-22, 31 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isamu in view of White US 20020052168 A1.

Isamu discloses a method of grinding a ferrous roll having a rotating roll surface with a rotating grinding wheel, the method comprises the claimed method steps that include: mounting the wheel on a rotational spindle and grinding the roll surface and maintaining one or both of the grinding wheel rotational speed and the mill roll rotational speed is varied at an amount of +/- to 40% in amplitude, with a period of 1 to 30 seconds, and the wheel rotational frequency is varied at an amplitude of +/- 20% with a period of less than 5 seconds. Isamu does not specifically disclose a TT to WWC greater than 25°. White discloses a grinding apparatus in which the TT to WWC is greater than 25° so as to enhance the grinding operation based on the hardness of the surface. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the apparatus of Isamu et al. to have made the TT to WWC greater than 25° as taught by White so as to enhance the grinding operation based on the hardness of the surface.

Art Unit: 3723

. Isamu does not specifically disclose a TT to WWC greater than 25°. White discloses a grinding apparatus in which the TT to WWC is greater than 25° so as to enhance the grinding operation based on the hardness of the surface. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the apparatus of Isamu et al. to have made the TT to WWC greater than 25° as taught by White so as to enhance the grinding operation based on the hardness of the surface.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN J. GRANT whose telephone number is (571)272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,710 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alvin J Grant/ Examiner, Art Unit 3723